

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,067	04/05/2002	Minoru Takaya	221608US0PCT	3041
22850	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			JOHNSON, JONATHAN J	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1725	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/089,067 | TAKAYA ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Jonathan Johnson | 1725 | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jonathan Johnson. | (3) \_\_\_\_. | (2) Harris Pitlick. | (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-69.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bristol and Gilleo et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the possibility of amending the claims so that the soldering flux is required to be "rosin-free" and that the adhesive resin is required to include a thermosetting resin. Also discussed the propriety of the restriction, which Applicants' attorney argued is inconsistent with relavant PCT rules. Examiner will take all amendments and arguments under advisement when response is filed.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

xaminer's signature, if required